International Journal of Future Engineering Innovations

AI-Driven Fire Detection in Gas-to-Liquids (GTL) Facilities: A Paradigm Shift in Industrial Safety

Jun-Hee Lee [1], Malvin Kadero [2], Khan Ramin [3], Rony Kamal [4], Aziz Khan [5*]
[1-5] Faculty of Social and Management Sciences, Modibbo Adama University, Yola, Nigeria

* Corresponding Author: **Aziz Khan**

Article Info

ISSN (online): 3049-1215
Volume: 02
Issue: 02
March-April 2025
Received: 24-01-2025
Accepted: 21-02-2025
Page No: 23-27

Abstract
Gas-to-Liquids (GTL) processing facilities face critical fire safety challenges due to the high flammability of gases, elevated temperatures, and complex industrial processes. Traditional fire detection systems—such as smoke, heat, and optical detectors—often underperform in such environments because of delayed response times, false alarms, and interference from dust, humidity, or vapors. To overcome these limitations, advanced technologies like artificial intelligence (AI), Internet of Things (IoT), and multispectral imaging are being integrated to enable real-time, accurate fire detection and prevention. AI-based systems, equipped with deep learning algorithms and predictive analytics, can process multispectral data and thermal imaging to detect early ignition signs with high precision. Simultaneously, IoT-enabled sensors create a continuous data network, allowing 24/7 surveillance and automated response. Computer vision and edge computing further enhance detection in obstructed or confined environments by analyzing complex thermal patterns in real time. Implementation strategies include sensor fusion, system optimization, and adaptive model training to ensure accuracy and minimize false positives. Real-world case studies illustrate the effectiveness of these technologies in mitigating risks and ensuring operational safety in GTL plants. The integration of AI and IoT marks a significant advancement in industrial fire prevention, enabling a proactive and resilient approach to safety in the energy sector.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.54660/IJFEI.2025.2.2.23-27

Keywords: Gas-to-Liquids (GTL), Fire Detection, AI, IoT, Industrial Safety, Thermal Imaging, Smart Sensors, Risk Mitigation, Edge Computing, Deep Learning

1. Introduction

Gas-to-Liquids (GTL) technology is a key component of the modern energy landscape, transforming natural gas into liquid fuels like diesel, naphtha, and jet fuel via processes such as Fischer-Tropsch synthesis. While GTL offers cleaner alternatives to traditional fossil fuels and supports energy diversification, its operations pose significant fire risks. These risks stem from the use of high-pressure flammable gases, intense heat exchange systems, and exothermic reactions under elevated temperature and pressure conditions (Hossain & Alasa, 2024) [16]. Traditional fire detection methods—such as heat, smoke, and optical flame detectors—are common in industrial settings but face considerable limitations in GTL environments. Issues such as false alarms due to dust and vapors, delayed response times, and the inability to detect fires in visually obstructed or confined areas (White & Ajax, 2025) [40] diminish their effectiveness. Manual surveillance and intervention are often insufficient, given the speed at which fire incidents can escalate in such complex systems.

To address these shortcomings, emerging technologies like Artificial Intelligence (AI), the Internet of Things (IoT), and computer vision offer more intelligent and responsive fire detection capabilities (Alasa *et al*, 2024) [6]. AI-powered systems analyze video feeds, detect thermal signatures, and leverage multispectral imaging to identify early combustion signals with high precision (Sinchai *et al*, 2024; Hossain & Alasa, 2024a, b) [36, 16]. Coupled with IoT-based sensor networks, these solutions enable continuous monitoring, real-time data collection, and automatic alerts or interventions (Babu *et al*, 2024;) [7], significantly improving detection speed and reliability.

This study aims to identify key fire hazards in GTL facilities, evaluate the limitations of conventional fire detection systems, explore advanced technologies for improved fire monitoring, and propose an AI-driven early warning system customized for GTL safety. By pursuing these objectives, the paper seeks to enhance safety strategies within GTL plants, supporting broader goals of operational resilience and industrial risk mitigation. The following sections will examine GTL-specific fire risks, assess current detection tools, explore next-generation technologies, and recommend implementation pathways for intelligent fire detection systems.

2. Fire hazards in gas-to-liquids processing facilities

Gas-to-Liquids (GTL) plants operate under extreme conditions, converting natural gas into liquid fuels like diesel and naphtha through high-temperature, high-pressure chemical reactions such as Fischer-Tropsch synthesis. While offering cleaner fuel alternatives, these processes introduce significant fire hazards due to the generation and handling of flammable gases like methane, hydrogen, and carbon monoxide (Hossain & Alasa, 2024; Alasa *et al*, 2025) [17, 1]. The combination of exothermic reactions, pressurized systems, and complex process architecture makes any malfunction potentially catastrophic. A critical risk in GTL environments is gas leakage. Even minor leaks of methane or syngas can trigger fires or explosions if exposed to an ignition source. Such leaks may result from equipment wear, pipeline corrosion, valve failures, or human error. Additional ignition threats include static electricity buildup or electrical faults in industrial equipment (Reddy *et al*, 2020) [29]. Vapor cloud explosions—caused by the accumulation and ignition of flammable gases—pose further danger, often resulting in widespread damage and operational downtime.

Another significant hazard arises from high-temperature equipment such as reactors, heat exchangers, and distillation columns. Failures in cooling systems or safety interlocks can lead to thermal runaway reactions. These zones can serve as ignition points, and fires in these areas often spread rapidly through connected systems. Traditional monitoring tools often fail to detect such early-stage anomalies, underscoring the need for predictive, sensor-driven fire detection systems (Zhang *et al*, 2024) [42]. Historical incidents in petrochemical and GTL plants reveal that undetected leaks and delayed emergency responses often escalate fire events. These cases highlight the necessity of real-time monitoring and intelligent detection systems that can identify early warning signs and automatically initiate safety protocols (Hossain, 2021, 2022; Yu *et al*, 2024) [19, 18, 41].

Environmental conditions also complicate fire risk. GTL facilities often operate in harsh climates—facing high heat, humidity, or wind—which can influence fire spread and reduce the reliability of conventional detectors. For instance, strong winds may carry flames across facility zones, impeding containment efforts (Kim *et al*, 2024) [23]. This emphasizes the importance of advanced fire detection systems that account for both operational and environmental variables.

In conclusion, GTL fire hazards result from a blend of chemical volatility, mechanical failure risks, and environmental exposure. Addressing these threats requires modern fire detection solutions that integrate real-time analytics, predictive modeling, and automated response capabilities. Such innovations are essential for protecting GTL infrastructure, personnel, and operational continuity.

3. Limitations of traditional fire detection systems

Traditional fire detection technologies—such as heat sensors, smoke detectors, and optical flame detectors—are common in industrial environments. However, their performance is often inadequate in high-risk, fast-paced settings like Gas-to-Liquids (GTL) facilities, where fires can escalate within seconds. One of the major drawbacks is delayed response. Heat and smoke detectors rely on the accumulation of heat or particulates, which may take time to reach detection thresholds—time that is critical in GTL operations where flammable gases and elevated temperatures are constant threats (Alasa *et al*, 2025b; Hossain *et al*, 2023; White & Ajax, 2025) [5, 15, 40].

False alarms are another persistent issue. Conventional detectors often misinterpret harmless emissions—such as steam, flare stack discharges, or hot gas releases—as fire events. Optical flame detectors using UV or IR sensors are susceptible to interference from background radiation, increasing the likelihood of false positives (Obi, 2014) [26]. Such frequent alarms disrupt operations and reduce trust in system reliability.

Furthermore, the structural complexity of GTL plants adds to the challenge. With interconnected piping systems, confined equipment zones, and limited line-of-sight, many fires may start in areas unreachable by conventional detectors. Smoke detectors also underperform in ventilated areas or environments with non-combustible gases that distort readings (Phan *et al*, 2023) [28]. Maintenance demands further compromise traditional systems. Harsh conditions, high ambient temperatures, chemical exposure, and mechanical vibration—can impair sensor accuracy and shorten equipment lifespan. These systems often require regular recalibration, adding to operational costs and increasing the risk of sensor failure. Additionally, legacy systems typically lack real-time diagnostics or remote monitoring, reducing situational awareness and delaying emergency responses (Qu *et al*, 2025; Alasa *et al*, 2025a) [30, 1].

Given these limitations, there is a clear need to shift toward advanced detection systems capable of rapid, accurate, and reliable performance in challenging environments. Emerging technologies—including AI, IoT, and sensor fusion—offer transformative potential. AI-enabled systems can process real-time data, recognize combustion patterns, and predict fire risks. IoT networks support continuous monitoring and automated alerts, while sensor fusion enhances accuracy by combining multiple data sources (Alasa, 2020; Alasa, 2021; Medewar *et al*, 2024) [2, 3, 25].

4. Emerging technologies for advanced fire detection in GTL facilities

The limitations of traditional fire detection methods in Gas-to-Liquids (GTL) facilities have accelerated the adoption of advanced technologies that offer real-time, accurate, and intelligent fire monitoring. Innovations in artificial intelligence (AI), the Internet of Things (IoT), and computer vision have revolutionized industrial fire safety, enabling proactive detection and faster response. AI-driven fire detection systems utilize deep learning algorithms to analyze visual, thermal, and multispectral data for early fire recognition. Unlike conventional detectors limited to UV or IR radiation, AI models process complex imaging patterns and distinguish fire-related signatures from non-threatening emissions such as steam or flare smoke (Sultan *et al*, 2024) [37]. These models are trained on extensive datasets to improve accuracy and reduce false positives. Beyond real-time detection, AI enhances predictive analytics by identifying trends in historical data—such as abnormal temperature changes or gas concentrations—to forecast potential fire risks (Kim *et al*, 2024; Alasa *et al*, 2025a) [23, 1]. This capability enables GTL facilities to implement preventive measures before incidents occur.

IoT technology transforms fire detection into a fully networked, real-time system. By deploying smart sensors such as gas detectors, thermal cameras, and temperature probes—throughout the facility, continuous environmental monitoring becomes possible (Pandey, Jain, & Saritha, 2023) [27]. These sensors transmit data to centralized platforms for analysis, triggering alarms or suppression systems when hazardous thresholds are breached. IoT systems are equipped with edge computing process data near the source, reducing latency and enabling instant alerts. Mobile access to dashboards also enhances situational awareness, allowing safety teams to monitor threats remotely and act quickly, even in areas with limited physical oversight (Babu *et al*, 2024) [7]. Computer vision, when combined with multispectral imaging, offers robust fire detection across various wavelengths—including visible, near-infrared, and thermal bands. This allows for the identification of combustion patterns that may not be visible to the naked eye or detectable by single-spectrum devices (Sinchai *et al*, 2024) [26]. Thermal imaging is especially useful for detecting heat buildup in enclosed or visually obstructed areas, such as pipelines or insulated units. AI-powered models using convolutional neural networks (CNNs) can process thermal video feeds in real time, identifying heat anomalies indicative of early-stage fires (Tran, 2025) [39]. This integration ensures comprehensive detection in complex GTL environments.

5. Implementation strategies for AI-driven fire detection

Successfully deploying AI-driven fire detection systems in Gas-to-Liquids (GTL) facilities requires an integrated, strategic approach that emphasizes sensor fusion, real-time data processing, and intelligent decision-making. This section outlines key strategies to ensure robust, efficient, and scalable implementation in industrial environments. A core component of effective AI-based fire detection is the integration of diverse sensor types to capture multiple fire-related signals. These systems typically combine inputs from thermal imaging cameras, infrared/ultraviolet flame detectors, gas sensors, and acoustic monitors. Fusing data from these heterogeneous sources enables multidimensional analysis, improving detection accuracy and reducing false positives (Qu *et al*, 2025) [30]. Cross-verification among sensors enhances system reliability. For example, a temperature spike flagged by a thermal sensor can be validated through simultaneous detection of gas leaks or acoustic anomalies, ensuring alarms are triggered only when multiple indicators confirm a potential fire event.

To ensure effectiveness, AI models must be evaluated based on detection accuracy, false alarm rates, and response times. Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs) have achieved over 90% accuracy in fire detection when trained on high-quality datasets (Shrivastava *et al*, 2024) [35]. However, adapting these models to dynamic GTL environments requires continual refinement.

Improving generalization involves training on diverse datasets that reflect real-world industrial variability—such as differing light levels, smoke densities, fire sizes, and environmental noise. Incorporating synthetic data, augmentation techniques, and real incident footage further strengthens the model's adaptability and resilience. In GTL settings, where fire hazards can escalate in seconds, low-latency detection and rapid response are essential. Optimizing model performance involves:

- **Model pruning:** Eliminating redundant parameters to accelerate inference without sacrificing accuracy.
- **Quantization:** Using lower precision for model weights to reduce computational load.
- **Edge computing:** Running models on local devices near the sensors to eliminate data transmission delays and enable instant alerts (Hossain & Alasa, 2024) [16].

These techniques ensure that fire detection systems deliver real-time awareness and timely intervention, even under the computational constraints of industrial environments.

By implementing robust sensor integration, optimizing AI model performance, and enabling real-time processing, GTL facilities can transition to intelligent fire monitoring systems. These advanced strategies significantly improve detection speed, precision, and reliability—helping to prevent catastrophic incidents and enhance overall plant safety.

6. Conclusion

As Gas-to-Liquids (GTL) facilities grow in complexity, so do the fire risks associated with their high-pressure, high-temperature operations. Traditional fire detection systems such as smoke, heat, and flame sensors—are often too slow or inaccurate for these high-risk environments, frequently resulting in delayed responses or false alarms. In contrast, AI-driven fire detection systems, enhanced by deep learning, IoT connectivity, and multispectral imaging, offer a transformative solution. These technologies enable real-time monitoring, predictive analytics, and early identification of fire hazards. AI models using computer vision and thermal data can accurately distinguish between fire and non-fire events, significantly reducing false alarms. Meanwhile, IoT-based architecture allows remote monitoring and centralized control, improving situational awareness and response agility across facility zones. Effective implementation of these systems depends on a structured approach, integrating diverse sensors, optimizing real-time processing, and continually training models to adapt to dynamic environmental and operational conditions. These efforts ensure faster detection, more reliable alerts, and proactive risk mitigation.

Looking ahead, ongoing research should focus on adaptive learning algorithms that further enhance detection accuracy and system efficiency. At the same time, aligning with industrial safety standards and regulatory compliance will be essential for widespread adoption. In conclusion, transitioning to intelligent fire detection systems marks a critical advancement in GTL safety. By embracing AI, IoT, and predictive monitoring, GTL operations can move from reactive firefighting to proactive fire prevention safeguarding infrastructure, personnel, and productivity in increasingly demanding industrial settings.

7. References

1. Alasa DK, Jiyane G. Bridging innovation and sustainability: the evolving role of information technology in plant biotechnology. Western Journal of Agricultural Science and Technology. 2025;1(1):1-6.
2. Alasa DK. Harnessing predictive analytics in cybersecurity: proactive strategies for organizational threat mitigation. World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews. 2020;8(2):369-376. https://doi.org/10.30574/wjarr.2020.8.2.0425
3. Alasa DK. Enhanced business intelligence through the convergence of big data analytics, AI, machine learning, IoT and blockchain. Open Access Research Journal of Science and Technology. 2021;2(2):23-30. https://doi.org/10.53022/oarjst.2021.2.2.0042
4. Alasa DK, Hossain D, Jiyane G. Hydrogen economy in GTL: exploring the role of hydrogen-rich GTL processes in advancing a hydrogen-based economy. International Journal of Communication Networks and Information Security. 2025;17(1):81-91. Available from: https://www.ijcnis.org/index.php/ijcnis/article/view/8021
5. Alasa DK, Hossain D, Jiyane G, Sarwer MH, Saha TR. AI-driven personalization in e-commerce: the case of Amazon and Shopify's impact on consumer behavior. Voice of the Publisher. 2025;11:104-116. https://doi.org/10.4236/vp.2025.111009
6. Alasa DK, Jiyane G, Tanvir A. Exploring the synergy of artificial intelligence and blockchain in business: insights from a bibliometric-content analysis. Global Journal of Engineering and Technology Advances. 2024;21(2):171-178. https://doi.org/10.30574/gjeta.2024.21.2.0216
7. Babu CS, Auroshaa A, Saltonya MS, Sathyanarayanan AS. Cloud-enabled fire safety in Industry 5.0 smart factories: leveraging IoT and sensor networks for real-time monitoring and proactive prevention. In: Emerging Technologies in Digital Manufacturing and Smart Factories. IGI Global Scientific Publishing; 2024. p. 150-166.
8. Bhuiyan MMR, Noman IR, Aziz MM, Rahaman MM, Islam MR, Manik MMTG, *et al* Transformation of plant breeding using data analytics and information technology: innovations, applications, and prospective directions. Frontiers in Bioscience (Elite Edition). 2025;17(1):27936. https://doi.org/10.31083/FBE27936
9. Bulbul IJ, Zahir Z, Tanvir A, Alam P, Parisha P. Comparative study of the antimicrobial, minimum inhibitory concentrations (MIC), cytotoxic and antioxidant activity of methanolic extract of different parts of Phyllanthus acidus (L.) Skeels (family: Euphorbiaceae). World Journal of Pharmacy and Pharmaceutical Sciences. 2018;8(1):12-57. https://doi.org/10.20959/wjpps20191-10735
10. Das K, Ayim BY, Borodynko-Filas N, Das SC, Aminuzzaman FM. Genome editing (CRISPR/Cas9) in plant disease management: challenges and future prospects. Journal of Plant Protection Research. 2023;63:159-172. https://doi.org/10.24425/jppr.2023.145761
11. Das K, Ayim BY, Borodynko-Filas N, Das SC, Aminuzzaman FM. Genome editing (CRISPR/Cas9) in plant disease management: challenges and future prospects. Journal of Plant Protection Research. 2023;63(2):159-172.
12. Das K, Jhan PK, Das SC, Aminuzzaman FM, Ayim BY. Nanotechnology: past, present and future prospects in crop protection. In: Ahmad F, Sultan M, editors. Technology in Agriculture. London (UK): IntechOpen Limited; 2021. p. 1-18.
13. Das K, Sarker A, *et al* Harnessing plant–microorganism interactions for nano-bioremediation of heavy metals: cutting-edge advances and mechanisms. Plant Trends. 2025;3(1):1-12. http://dx.doi.org/10.5455/pt.2025.01
14. Das K, Tanvir A, Rani S, Aminuzzaman FM. Revolutionizing agro-food waste management: real-time solutions through IoT and big data integration. Voice of the Publisher. 2025;11:17-36. https://doi.org/10.4236/vp.2025.111003
15. Hossain D, Alasa DK, Jiyane G. Water-based fire suppression and structural fire protection: strategies for effective fire control. International Journal of Communication Networks and Information Security. 2023;15(4):485-494. Available from: https://ijcnis.org/index.php/ijcnis/article/view/7982
16. Hossain D, Alasa DK. Fire detection in gas-to-liquids processing facilities: challenges and innovations in early warning systems. International Journal of Biological, Physical and Chemical Studies. 2024;6(2):7-13. https://doi.org/10.32996/ijbpcs.2024.6.2.2
17. Hossain D, Alasa DK. Numerical modeling of fire growth and smoke propagation in enclosure. Journal of Management World. 2024;(5):186-196. https://doi.org/10.53935/jomw.v2024i4.1051
18. Hossain D. Fire dynamics and heat transfer: advances in flame spread analysis. Open Access Research Journal of Science and Technology. 2022;6(2):70-75. https://doi.org/10.53022/oarjst.2022.6.2.0061
19. Hossain D. A fire protection life safety analysis of multipurpose building. 2021. Available from: https://digitalcommons.calpoly.edu/fpe_rpt/135/
20. Hossain D, Alasa DK. Fire detection in gas-to-liquids processing facilities: challenges and innovations in early warning systems. International Journal of Biological, Physical and Chemical Studies. 2024;6(2):7-13.
21. Islam MR, Aziz MM, Gonee Manik MMT, Bhuiyan MMR, Noman IR, Rahaman MM, *et al* Navigating the digital landscape: integrating advanced IT solutions with project management best practices. ICRRD Qual Index Research Journal. 2024;5:159-173. https://doi.org/10.53272/icrrd.v5i4.5
22. Khan RA, Bajwa UI, Raza RH, Anwar MW. Beyond boundaries: advancements in fire and smoke detection for indoor and outdoor surveillance feeds. Engineering Applications of Artificial Intelligence. 2025;142:109855.
23. Kim Y, Heo Y, Jin B, Bae Y. Real-time fire classification models based on deep learning for building an intelligent multi-sensor system. Fire. 2024;7(9):329.
24. Masud MAA, Shin WS, Sarker A, Septian A, Das K, Deepo DM, *et al* A critical review of sustainable application of biochar for green remediation: research uncertainty and future directions. Science of the Total Environment. 2023;904:166813.
25. Medewar AG, Sawarkar AD, Kshirsagar UV, Mr AGM, Kshirsagar U. A review on fire and smoke detection with intelligent control for enhanced safety using machine learning (ML) and Internet of Things (IoT). Cureus.

2024;1(1).
26. Obi E. Optimization of flame and gas detectors [Master's thesis]. Stavanger (Norway): University of Stavanger; 2014.
27. Pandey VK, Jain S, Saritha SK. Advanced IoT-based fire and smoke detection system leveraging deep learning and TinyML. In: 2023 14th International Conference on Computing Communication and Networking Technologies (ICCCNT). IEEE; 2023. p. 1-10.
28. Phan DT, Yap KH, Garg K, Han BS. Vision-based early fire and smoke detection for smart factory applications using FFS-YOLO. In: 2023 IEEE 25th International Workshop on Multimedia Signal Processing (MMSP). IEEE; 2023. p. 1-6.
29. Reddy PK, Reddy ES, Bhaskar TNSS, Yadav BP, Singh AK. Design of fire and gas detection system for a process plant: a review. Advances in Industrial Safety: Select Proceedings of HSFEA 2018. 2020;271-280.
30. Qu X, Dong H, Tan X, Li Z. Real-time fire detection and response system using machine vision for industrial safety. International Journal of Modern Physics C. 2025.
31. Rahaman MM, Gonee Manik MMT, Rahman Noman I, Islam MR, Aziz MM, Rahman Bhuiyan MM, *et al* Data analytics for sustainable business: practical insights for measuring and growing impact. ICRRD Qual Index Research Journal. 2024;5:110-125. https://doi.org/10.53272/icrrd.v5i4.2
32. Rahaman MA, Saha S, Adewale C, Deb U, English H. Empowering small-scale farmers: an assessment of Small Farm Program's effectiveness in Arkansas, USA. Journal of Business and Management Studies. 2024;6(6):347-356. https://doi.org/10.32996/jbms.2024.6.6.17
33. Rani S, Das K, Aminuzzaman FM, Ayim BY, Borodynko-Filas N. Harnessing the future: cutting-edge technologies for plant disease control. Journal of Plant Protection Research. 2023;63:387-398. https://doi.org/10.24425/jppr.2023.147829
34. Sarker A, Masud MAA, Deepo DM, Das K, Nandi R, Ansary MWR, *et al* Biological and green remediation of heavy metal-contaminated water and soils: a state-of-the-art review. Chemosphere. 2023;332:138861.
35. Shrivastava A, Gogoi A, Shahi S, Chaitanya S. IoT-enabled real-time fire monitoring and response in urban areas. Information Sciences and Technological Innovations. 2024;1(1):19-27.
36. Sinchai A, Pumanee P, Lomwong R. Enhanced fire detection using deep learning and heat signatures. In: 2024 12th International Conference on Control, Mechatronics and Automation (ICCMA). IEEE; 2024. p. 261-266.
37. Sultan T, Chowdhury MS, Safran M, Mridha MF, Dey N. Deep learning-based multistage fire detection system and emerging direction. Fire. 2024;7(12):451.
38. Tanvir A, Jo J, Park SM. Targeting glucose metabolism: a novel therapeutic approach for Parkinson's disease. Cells. 2024;13:1876. https://doi.org/10.3390/cells13221876
39. Tran HAN. Research and experimental implementation of an IoT-integrated fire detection and alarm system based on image processing using machine learning [Doctoral dissertation]. Da Nang (Vietnam): Vietnam-Korea University of Information and Communication Technology; 2025.
40. White L, Ajax R. Improved fire detection and alarm systems. 2025.
41. Yu H, Sun Y, Liu Y, Wang X, Huang F, Liu H. A novel measurement strategy for explosion temperature field towards enhancing the fire process safety. Fire Safety Journal. 2024;145:104118.
42. Zhang Q, Tian Y, Chen J, Zhang X, Qi Z. To ensure the safety of storage: enhancing accuracy of fire detection in warehouses with deep learning models. Process Safety and Environmental Protection. 2024;190:729-743.